

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File Number: 1-13762

**RECKSON OPERATING PARTNERSHIP, L.P.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Maryland**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**11-3233647**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**420 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10170**  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

**(212) 594-2700**  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
YES  NO

As of June 30, 2007, no common units of limited partnership interest of the Registrant were held by non-affiliates of the Registrant. There is no established trading market for such units.

**RECKSON OPERATING PARTNERSHIP, L.P.**

**INDEX**

**PART I.** **FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1.** **FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

[Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2007 \(Successor\) \(unaudited\) and December 31, 2006 \(Predecessor\)](#)

3

[Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended June 30, 2007 \(Successor\) and the period January 26, 2007 to June 30, 2007 \(Successor\), the period January 1, 2007 to January 25, 2007 \(Predecessor\) and the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 \(Predecessor\) \(unaudited\)](#)

4

**PAGE**

<b><u>ITEM 2.</u></b>	<b><u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u></b>	16
<b><u>ITEM 3.</u></b>	<b><u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u></b>	23
<b><u>ITEM 4.</u></b>	<b><u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u></b>	23
<b><u>PART II.</u></b>	<b><u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	24
<b><u>ITEM 1.</u></b>	<b><u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u></b>	24
<b><u>ITEM 1A.</u></b>	<b><u>RISK FACTORS</u></b>	25
<b><u>ITEM 2.</u></b>	<b><u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u></b>	32
<b><u>ITEM 3.</u></b>	<b><u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u></b>	32
<b><u>ITEM 4.</u></b>	<b><u>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u></b>	32
<b><u>ITEM 5.</u></b>	<b><u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	32
<b><u>ITEM 6.</u></b>	<b><u>EXHIBITS</u></b>	32
<b><u>Signatures</u></b>		34

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**  
**ITEM 1. Financial Statements**

**Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**  
**(Amounts in thousands)**

	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2007</u> <u>(Successor)</u> <u>(Unaudited)</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2006</u> <u>(Predecessor)</u>
<b><u>Assets</u></b>		
Commercial real estate properties, at cost:		
Land and land interests	\$ 649,516	\$ 559,325
Building and improvements	<u>3,080,967</u>	<u>3,090,549</u>
	3,730,483	3,649,874
Less: accumulated depreciation	<u>(32,444)</u>	<u>(644,771)</u>
	3,698,039	3,005,103
Cash and cash equivalents	12,213	51,192
Restricted cash	8,449	19,982
Tenant and other receivables, net of allowance of \$106 and none in 2007 and 2006, respectively	9,842	13,611
Deferred rents receivable	9,063	155,256
Structured finance investments	107,473	198,454
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	63,582	53,390
Deferred costs, net	827	88,089
Other assets	41,987	161,754
Total assets	<u>\$ 3,951,475</u>	<u>\$ 3,746,831</u>
<b><u>Liabilities and Partners' Capital</u></b>		
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 233,359	\$ 418,613
Revolving credit facility	—	269,000
Unsecured notes	1,056,782	1,255,187
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	27,412	20,585
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	44,935	142,093
Deferred revenue/gain	—	53,590
Deferred land leases payable	—	10,457
Dividend and distributions payable	—	36,839
Security deposits	5,949	19,217
Total liabilities	<u>1,368,437</u>	<u>2,225,581</u>

Commitments and Contingencies	—	—
Minority interests in other partnerships	400,114	259,736
<b>Partners' Capital</b>		
Preferred Capital	—	1,200
General Partner Capital	2,182,924	1,241,985
Limited Partner Capital	—	16,513
Accumulated other comprehensive income	—	1,816
Total Partners' Capital	2,182,924	1,261,514
Total Liabilities and Partners' Capital	<u>\$ 3,951,475</u>	<u>\$ 3,746,831</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

3

**Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations**  
(Unaudited, and amounts in thousands)

	Three months ended June 30, 2007	Period January 26 to June 30, 2007	Period January 1 to January 25, 2007	Three months ended June 30, 2006	Six Months ended June 30, 2006
	(Successor)	(Successor)	(Predecessor)	(Predecessor)	(Predecessor)
<b>Revenues</b>					
Rental revenue, net	\$ 64,439	\$ 111,123	\$ 21,821	\$ 66,697	\$ 134,032
Escalation and reimbursement	12,813	22,532	3,728	13,800	26,128
Investment income	6,495	9,946	1,201	4,724	10,330
Other income	455	467	—	1,748	13,907
Total revenues	<u>84,202</u>	<u>144,068</u>	<u>26,750</u>	<u>86,969</u>	<u>184,397</u>
<b>Expenses</b>					
Operating expenses	17,853	30,888	6,921	17,194	36,897
Real estate taxes	13,061	22,842	4,744	14,269	28,312
Ground rent	2,560	4,418	699	1,981	4,107
Interest	18,076	32,528	6,728	24,147	49,550
Amortization of deferred financing costs	—	—	152	1,017	2,139
Depreciation and amortization	18,637	32,491	5,311	19,338	38,292
Merger related costs	—	—	8,814	—	—
Marketing, general and administrative	252	283	5,347	9,570	20,410
Total expenses	<u>70,439</u>	<u>123,450</u>	<u>38,716</u>	<u>87,516</u>	<u>179,707</u>
Income (loss) from continuing operations before equity in net income from unconsolidated joint venture, gain on sale, minority interest and discontinued operations	13,763	20,618	(11,966)	(547)	4,690
Equity in net income from unconsolidated joint venture	301	531	8	1,815	2,211
Income (loss) from continuing operations before minority interest, gain on sale and discontinued operations	14,064	21,149	(11,958)	1,268	6,901
Gain on sale of real estate	—	—	—	—	35,393
Minority interest in other partnerships	(3,285)	(4,166)	(1,665)	(3,923)	(8,032)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	10,779	16,983	(13,623)	(2,655)	34,262
Income from discontinued operations, net	—	—	2,520	23,047	35,794
Gain on sale of real estate from discontinued operations	—	—	—	—	9,518
Net income (loss) available to common unitholders	<u>\$ 10,779</u>	<u>\$ 16,983</u>	<u>\$ (11,103)</u>	<u>\$ 20,392</u>	<u>\$ 79,574</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

4

**Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P.**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(Unaudited, and amounts in thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2007	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006
	(Successor)	(Predecessor)
<b>Operating Activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 5,880	\$ 79,574
Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Non-cash adjustments related to income from discontinued operations	4,032	31,498

Depreciation and amortization	37,802	40,431
Gain on sale of real estate	—	(44,982)
Equity in net income from unconsolidated joint venture	(539)	(1,870)
Distributions of cumulative earnings from unconsolidated joint venture	539	—
Sale of option to acquire joint venture interest	—	(9,016)
Minority interest in other partnerships	5,831	8,032
Deferred rents receivable	(9,758)	(7,957)
Other non-cash adjustments	4,707	—
<b>Changes in operating assets and liabilities:</b>		
Restricted cash — operations	11,533	(4,016)
Tenant and other receivables	3,663	7,419
Deferred lease costs	(714)	(10,538)
Other assets	103,765	13,152
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	(96,938)	(13,591)
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>69,803</b>	<b>88,136</b>
<b>Investing Activities</b>		
Additions to land, buildings and improvements	(21,309)	(60,646)
Distributions in excess of cumulative earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures	7,075	3,270
Proceeds from disposition of real estate/ partial interest in property	—	191,994
Proceeds from the Asset Sale	1,978,764	—
Structured finance and other investments net of repayments/participations	33,423	2,303
<b>Net cash provided by investing activities</b>	<b>1,997,953</b>	<b>136,921</b>
<b>Financing Activities</b>		
Repayments of mortgage notes payable	(185,254)	(77,437)
Proceeds from revolving credit facilities, term loans and unsecured notes	12,000	566,819
Repayments of revolving credit facilities, term loans and unsecured notes	(481,000)	(621,000)
Contributions	273,379	1,798
Minority interest in other partnerships—distributions	(7,398)	(8,474)
Other financing activities	—	1,767
Distributions	(1,718,462)	(73,895)
<b>Net cash used in financing activities</b>	<b>(2,106,735)</b>	<b>(210,422)</b>
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(38,979)	14,635
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	51,192	17,468
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 12,213</u>	<u>\$ 32,103</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P.**  
**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**(Unaudited)**  
**June 30, 2007**

**1. Organization and Basis of Presentation**

Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P., or ROP, commenced operations on June 2, 1995. Reckson Associates Realty Corp., or RARC, is the sole general partner of ROP. RARC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of SL Green Realty Corp., or SL Green. The sole limited partner of ROP is SL Green Operating Partnership, L.P., or the operating partnership.

As of June 30, 2007, ROP was engaged in the ownership, management, operation and development of commercial real estate properties, principally office properties and also owned land for future development located in the New York City, Westchester and Connecticut, which collectively is also known as the Greater New York Area. At June 30, 2007, our inventory of development parcels aggregated approximately 81 acres of land in 4 separate parcels on which we can, based on estimates at June 30, 2007, develop approximately 1.1 million square feet of office space and in which we had invested approximately \$63.8 million. In addition, ROP also held approximately \$107.5 million of structured finance investments.

SL Green and the operating partnership were formed in June 1997. SL Green has qualified, and expects to qualify in the current fiscal year as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, and operates as a self-administered, self-managed REIT. A REIT is a legal entity that holds real estate interests and, through payments of dividends to stockholders, is permitted to reduce or avoid the payment of Federal income taxes at the corporate level. Unless the context requires otherwise, all references to “we,” “our” and “us” means ROP and all entities owned or controlled by ROP.

On January 25, 2007, SL Green completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding shares of common stock of RARC pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 3, 2006, as amended, the Merger Agreement, among SL Green, Wyoming Acquisition Corp., or Wyoming, Wyoming Acquisition GP LLC, Wyoming Acquisition Partnership LP, RARC and ROP. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, each of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of RARC was converted into (i) \$31.68 in cash, (ii) 0.10387 of a share of the common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of SL Green and (iii) a pro-rated dividend in an amount equal to approximately \$0.0977 in cash. SL Green also assumed an aggregate of approximately \$226.3 million of ROP mortgage debt, approximately \$287.5 million of ROP convertible public debt and approximately \$967.8 million of ROP public unsecured notes. This transaction is referred to herein as the Merger.

On January 25, 2007, SL Green completed the sale, or Asset Sale, of certain assets of ROP to an investment group led by certain of RARC's former executive management, or the Buyer, for a total consideration of approximately \$2.0 billion. SL Green caused ROP to transfer the following assets to the Buyer in the Asset Sale: (1) certain real property assets and/or entities owning such real property assets, in either case, of ROP and 100% of certain loans secured by real property, all of which are located in Long Island, New York; (2) certain real property assets and/or entities owning such real property assets, in either case, of ROP located in White Plains and Harrison, New York; (3) all of the real property assets and/or entities owning 100% of the interests in such real property assets, in either case, of ROP located in New Jersey; (4) the entity owning a 25% interest in Reckson Australia Operating Company LLC, RARC's former Australian management company (including its former Australian licensed responsible entity), and other related entities, and ROP and ROP subsidiaries' rights to and interests in, all related contracts and assets, including, without limitation, property management and leasing, construction services and asset management contracts and services contracts; (5) the direct or indirect interest of RARC in Reckson Asset Partners, LLC, an affiliate of RSVP and all of ROP's rights in and to certain loans made by ROP to Frontline Capital Group, the bankrupt parent of RSVP, and other related entities, which will be purchased by a 50/50 joint venture with an affiliate of SL Green; (6) a 50% participation interest in certain loans made by a subsidiary of ROP that are secured by four real property assets located in Long Island, New York; and (7) 100% of certain loans secured by real property located in White Plains and New Rochelle, New York.

As a result of the substantial change in ownership from the Merger, SL Green has recorded the Merger in accordance with the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-97, "Push-Down Accounting." The effect of applying "push-down accounting" was to restate the assets and liabilities of ROP to fair value in the same manner as ROP's assets and liabilities were recorded by SL Green subsequent to the Merger (See Note 2).

As of June 30, 2007, we owned the following interests in commercial office properties primarily located in midtown Manhattan, a borough of New York City, or Manhattan, as well as Long Island City, Westchester County and Connecticut, which are collectively known as the Suburban assets:

Location	Ownership	Number of Properties	Square Feet	Weighted Average Occupancy (1)
Manhattan	Consolidated properties	4	3,770,000	98.2%
Suburban	Consolidated properties	19	2,889,900	90.6%
Suburban	Unconsolidated property	1	1,402,000	100.0%
		24	8,061,900	

(1) The weighted average occupancy represents the total leased square feet divided by total available square feet.

### Basis of Quarterly Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the consolidated financial position of ROP and the Service Companies (as defined below) at June 30, 2007 (Successor) and December 31, 2006 (Predecessor), the consolidated results of their operations for the three months ended June 30, 2007 (Successor), for each of the periods January 26, 2007 to June 30, 2007 (Successor), January 1, 2007 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor) and the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor) and their cash flows for the period January 1, 2007 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor) and January 26, 2007 to June 30, 2007 (Successor) (presented on a combined basis for the six months ended June 30, 2007) and for the six months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor). ROP's investments in majority-owned and controlled real estate joint ventures are reflected in the accompanying financial statements on a consolidated basis with a reduction for the minority partners' interests. ROP investments in real estate joint ventures, where it owns less than a controlling interest, are reflected in the accompanying financial statements on the equity method of accounting. The Service Companies, which provide management, development and construction services to ROP and to third parties, include Reckson Management Group, Inc., RANY Management Group, Inc., Reckson Construction & Development LLC and Reckson Construction Group New York, Inc. (collectively, the "Service Companies"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for fair presentation have been included. The 2007 operating results for the period presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2007. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and accompanying notes included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

The balance sheet at December 31, 2006 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements.

The financial position as of December 31, 2006 and the results of operations for the period from January 1, 2007 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor) and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor), have been recorded based on the historical values of the assets and liabilities of ROP prior to the Merger. The financial position as of June 30, 2007 (Successor) and the results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2007 (Successor) and the period from January 26, 2007 to June 30, 2007 (Successor) have been recorded based on the fair values assigned to the assets and liabilities of ROP in connection with the Merger. As such, the information presented may not be comparable.

## 2. Significant Accounting Policies

### Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our subsidiaries, which are wholly-owned or controlled by us or entities which are variable interest entities in which we are the primary beneficiary under the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Interpretation No. 46, or FIN 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities - an Interpretation of ARB No. 51," and FIN 46, "Interpretation No. 46R, or FIN 46R." Entities which we do not

control and entities which are variable interest entities, or VIEs, but where we are not the primary beneficiary are accounted for under the equity method. We consolidate VIEs in which we are determined to be the primary beneficiary. The interest that we do not own is included in Minority Interest in Other Partnerships on the balance sheet. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

---

In June 2005, the FASB ratified the consensus in EITF Issue No. 04-5, "Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights," which provides guidance in determining whether a general partner controls a limited partnership. EITF 04-5 states that the general partner in a limited partnership is presumed to control that limited partnership. The presumption may be overcome if the limited partners have either (1) the substantive ability to dissolve the limited partnership or otherwise remove the general partner without cause or (2) substantive participating rights, which provide the limited partners with the ability to effectively participate in significant decisions that would be expected to be made in the ordinary course of the limited partnership's and thereby preclude the general partner from exercising unilateral control over the partnership. Our adoption of EITF 04-5 did not have any effect on net income or partners' capital.

#### **Investment in Commercial Real Estate Properties**

In accordance with SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations," we allocate the purchase price of real estate to land and building and, if determined to be material, intangibles, such as the value of above, below and at-market leases and origination costs associated with the in-place leases. We depreciate the amount allocated to building and other intangible assets over their estimated useful lives, which generally range from three to 40 years. The values of the above and below market leases are amortized and recorded as either an increase (in the case of below market leases) or a decrease (in the case of above market leases) to rental income over the remaining term of the associated lease. The value associated with in-place leases and tenant relationships are amortized over the expected term of the relationship, which includes an estimated probability of the lease renewal, and its estimated term. If a tenant vacates its space prior to the contractual termination of the lease and no rental payments are being made on the lease, any unamortized balance of the related intangible will be written off. The tenant improvements and origination costs are amortized as an expense over the remaining life of the lease (or charged against earnings if the lease is terminated prior to its contractual expiration date). We assess fair value of the leases based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and capitalization rates and available market information. Estimates of future cash flows are based on a number of factors including the historical operating results, known trends, and market/economic conditions that may affect the property.

SL Green has not yet obtained all the information necessary to finalize its estimates to complete the purchase price allocations in accordance with SFAS No. 141 related to our assets in connection with the Merger. The purchase price allocations will be finalized once the information we identified has been received, which should not be longer than one year from the date of acquisition.

#### **Finite Life Joint Venture Agreements**

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, or SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for classifying and measuring as liabilities certain financial instruments that embody obligations of the issuer and have characteristics of both liabilities and equity. We adopted Statement No. 150 on July 1, 2003, which had no effect on our financial statements. SFAS No. 150 also requires the disclosure of the estimated settlement values of non-controlling interests in joint ventures that have finite lives. Two of our consolidated joint ventures are subject to finite life joint venture agreements. In accordance with SFAS No. 150, we have estimated the settlement value of these non-controlling interests at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 to be approximately \$94.2 million and \$175.0 million, respectively. The carrying value of these non-controlling interests, which is included in minority interests in other partnerships on our consolidated balance sheets, was approximately \$75.9 million and \$134.3 million at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

#### **Income Taxes**

No provision has been made for income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements since such taxes, if any, are the responsibility of the individual partners.

#### **Earnings Per Unit**

Earnings per unit was not computed in 2007 as there were no outstanding common units at June 30, 2007. Basic earnings per unit, or EPU, excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income available to common unitholders by the weighted average number of common units outstanding during the period. Basic EPU was \$0.24 and \$0.93 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006, respectively.

---

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### **Concentrations of Credit Risk**

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash investments, structured finance investments and accounts receivable. We place our cash investments in excess of insured amounts with high quality financial institutions. The collateral securing our structured finance investments is primarily located in the Greater New York Area. See Note 4. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our tenants and require certain tenants to provide security deposits or letters of credit. Though these security deposits and letters of credit are insufficient to meet the total value of a tenant's lease obligation, they are a measure of good faith and a source of funds to offset the economic costs associated with lost rent and the costs associated with re-tenanting the space. Although the properties in our real estate portfolio are primarily located in Manhattan, we also have Suburban properties located in Westchester County, Connecticut and Long Island City. The tenants located in our buildings operate in various industries. Other than

two tenants who contributed approximately 6.9% and 7.2% of our annualized rent, no other tenant in the portfolio contributed more than 3.7% of our annualized rent, including our share of joint venture annualized rent, at June 30, 2007. Approximately 15%, 16%, 24% and 11% of our annualized rent, including our share of joint venture annualized rent, was attributable to 810 Seventh Avenue, 919 Third Avenue, 1185 Avenue of the Americas and 1350 Avenue of the Americas, respectively, for the quarter ended June 30, 2007. Three borrowers accounted for more than 10.0% of the revenue earned on structured finance investments during the three months ended June 30, 2007.

### Reclassification

Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

### New Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes," or FIN 48. This interpretation, among other things, creates a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit that more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. FIN 48 specifically prohibits the use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions, and it has expanded disclosure requirements. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, in which the impact of adoption should be accounted for as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the beginning balance of retained earnings. The adoption of FIN 48 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

### 3. Property Dispositions

On January 25, 2007, we sold the interests in various properties as part of the Asset Sale for approximately \$2.0 billion, excluding closing costs. Due to the application of "push-down accounting," no gain on sale was recognized. Simultaneous with the Merger, the properties located at 120 West 45<sup>th</sup> Street, NY, and Landmark Square 1-6, Connecticut, were assigned by ROP to the operating partnership.

At June 30, 2007, discontinued operations included the results of operations of real estate assets sold prior to that date. This included the assets sold as part of the Asset Sale.

9

The following table summarizes income from discontinued operations (net of minority interest) and the related realized gain on sale of discontinued operations (net of minority interest) for the period January 1, 2007 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor) and the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor) (in thousands). No assets were considered as held for sale during the Successor period.

	Period January 1 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor)	Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor)
<b>Revenues</b>			
Rental revenue	\$ 14,344	\$ 61,861	\$ 112,725
Escalation and reimbursement revenues	346	5,695	12,441
Investment and other income	—	1,178	1,178
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>14,690</b>	<b>68,734</b>	<b>126,344</b>
<b>Operating expenses</b>	<b>3,731</b>	<b>13,116</b>	<b>27,280</b>
Real estate taxes	2,658	9,884	20,425
Ground rent	134	611	1,148
Interest	465	3,069	5,658
Marketing, general and administrative	1,150	2,176	4,541
Depreciation and amortization	3,524	16,709	31,018
<b>Total expenses</b>	<b>11,662</b>	<b>45,565</b>	<b>90,070</b>
<b>Income from discontinued operations</b>	<b>3,028</b>	<b>23,169</b>	<b>36,274</b>
Gain on disposition of discontinued operations	—	—	9,518
Minority interest in other partnerships	(508)	(122)	(480)
<b>Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest</b>	<b>\$ 2,520</b>	<b>\$ 23,047</b>	<b>\$ 45,312</b>

### 4. Structured Finance Investments

As of June 30, 2007 (Successor) and December 31, 2006 (Predecessor), we held the following structured finance investments, with an aggregate weighted average current yield of approximately 12.1% (in thousands):

Loan Type	Gross Investment	Senior Financing	2007 Principal Outstanding	2006 Principal Outstanding	Initial Maturity Date
Mezzanine Loan (1) (2)	\$ 55,250	\$ 225,000	\$ 58,976	\$ 57,990	December 2020
Mezzanine Loan (1)	10,000	4,500	10,000	10,000	October 2007
Mezzanine Loan (1)(3)	—	—	—	30,000	—
Mezzanine Loan (1) (2)	25,000	314,830	27,059	26,389	November 2009
Other Loan (1)	1,000	—	1,000	1,000	January 2010
Other Loan (1)	500	—	500	500	December 2009
Mezzanine Loan (1)	14,189	15,661	9,938	14,188	April 2008
Mezzanine Loans (4)	—	—	—	58,387	—
	<b>\$ 105,939</b>	<b>\$ 559,991</b>	<b>\$ 107,473</b>	<b>\$ 198,454</b>	



- (1) This is a fixed rate loan.
- (2) The difference between the pay and accrual rates is included as an addition to the principal balance outstanding.
- (3) This loan was redeemed in May 2007.
- (4) These loans have been aggregated and were sold as part of the Asset Sale.

At June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, all loans were performing in accordance with the terms of the loan agreements.

## 5. Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Venture

In May 2005, we acquired a 1.4 million square foot, 50-story, Class A office tower located at One Court Square, Long Island City, NY, for approximately \$471.0 million, inclusive of transfer taxes and transactional costs. One Court Square is 100% leased to the seller, Citibank N.A., under a 15-year net lease. The lease contains partial cancellation options effective during 2011 and 2012 for up to 20% of the leased space and in 2014 and 2015 for up to an additional 20% of the originally leased space, subject to notice and the payment of early termination penalties. On November 30, 2005, we sold a 70% joint venture interest in One Court Square to certain institutional funds advised by JPMorgan Investment Management, or the JPM Investors, for approximately \$329.7 million, including

10

the assumption of \$220.5 million of the property's mortgage debt. The operating agreement of the Court Square JV requires approvals from members on certain decisions including annual budgets, sale of the property, refinancing of the property's mortgage debt and material renovations to the property. In addition, after September 20, 2009 the members each have the right to recommend the sale of the property, subject to the terms of the mortgage debt, and to dissolve the Court Square JV. We have evaluated the impact of FIN 46R on our accounting for the Court Square JV and have concluded that the Court Square JV is not a VIE. We account for the Court Square JV under the equity method of accounting. We have also evaluated, under EITF 04-5, that the JPM Investors have substantive participating rights in the ordinary course of the Court Square JV's business.

## 6. Mortgage Notes Payable

The first mortgage notes payable collateralized by the respective properties and assignment of leases at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, were as follows (in thousands):

Property	Interest Rate (1)	Maturity Date	2007	2006
919 Third Avenue New York, NY (2)	6.87%	7/2018	\$ 233,359	\$ 235,113
100 Summit Road, Westchester, NY (3)	—	—	—	12,788
333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Mitchel Field, NY	(4)(7)	—	—	49,377
810 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY	(5)(7)	—	—	75,913
275 Broadhollow Road, Melville, NY	(5)(7)	—	—	14,774
90 Merrick Avenue, Merrick, NY	(5)(7)	—	—	18,123
711 Westchester Avenue, White Plains, NY	(6)(6)	—	—	12,525
Total mortgage notes payable			\$ 233,359	\$ 418,613

- (1) Effective interest rate for the three months ended June 30, 2007.
- (2) We own a 51% interest in the joint venture that is the borrower on this loan. This loan is non-recourse to us. We consolidate this joint venture.
- (3) This mortgage was repaid in May 2007 upon maturity.
- (4) At December 31, 2006, we had a 60% general partnership interest in this property and our proportionate share of the aggregate principal amount of the mortgage was approximately \$29.6 million.
- (5) These mortgages were cross-collateralized.
- (6) This mortgage note was interest only through January 2007 and then amortized over a 30-year period.
- (7) These mortgages were satisfied or assigned in connection with the Merger and the Asset Sale.

At June 30, 2007, the gross book value of the property collateralizing the mortgage note was approximately \$1.1 billion.

For the three months ended June 30, 2007 (Successor), the periods January 26, 2007 to June 30, 2007 (Successor) and January 1, 2007 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor), and the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor), we incurred approximately \$18.1 million, \$32.5 million, \$6.9 million, \$25.2 million and \$51.7 million of interest expense, respectively, excluding interest which was capitalized of approximately \$1.7 million, \$2.9 million, none, \$3.2 million and \$6.3 million, respectively.

At June 30, 2007, our unconsolidated joint venture had total indebtedness of approximately \$315.0 million with a fixed interest rate of approximately 4.91%. The mortgage matures in June 2015. Our aggregate pro-rata share of the unconsolidated joint venture debt was approximately \$94.5 million.

## 7. Corporate Indebtedness

### Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility

As of December 31, 2006, we maintained a \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility, or the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility was scheduled to mature in August 2008. Borrowings under the Credit Facility accrued interest at a rate of LIBOR plus 80 basis points and the Credit Facility carried a facility fee of 20 basis points per annum. At December 31, 2006, the outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility aggregated \$269.0 million, and carried a weighted average interest rate of 6.14% per annum.

During January 2007, we incurred a net increase of \$12.0 million in borrowings under the Credit Facility primarily for costs incurred



or to be incurred pursuant to the Merger. Upon the closing of the Merger on January 25, 2007, the aggregate balance of \$281.0 million outstanding under the Credit Facility, together with accrued and unpaid interest, was repaid and the Credit Facility was terminated.

### Senior Unsecured Notes

As of June 30, 2007, we had outstanding approximately \$1.057 billion (net of unamortized issuance discounts) of senior unsecured notes.

The following table sets forth our senior unsecured notes and other related disclosures by scheduled maturity date (in thousands):

Issuance	Face Amount	Coupon Rate	Term (in Years)	Maturity
March 26, 1999	\$ 200,000	7.75%	10	March 15, 2009
January 22, 2004	150,000	5.15%	7	January 15, 2011
August 13, 2004	150,000	5.875%	10	August 15, 2014
March 31, 2006	275,000	6.00%	10	March 31, 2016
June 27, 2005 (1)	287,500	4.00%	20	June 15, 2025
	<u>\$ 1,062,500</u>			

(1) Exchangeable senior debentures which are callable after June 17, 2010 at 100% of par. In addition, the debentures can be put to us, at the option of the holder at par plus accrued and unpaid interest, on June 15, 2010, 2015 and 2020 and upon the occurrence of certain change of control transactions. As a result of the Merger, the adjusted exchange rate for the debentures is 7.7461 shares of SL Green common stock per \$1,000 of principal amount of debentures and the adjusted reference dividend for the debentures is \$1.3491.

On April 27, 2007, the \$50.0 million 6.0% unsecured notes scheduled to mature in June 2007 and the \$150.0 million 7.20% unsecured notes scheduled to mature in August 2007, assumed as part of the Merger, were redeemed.

Interest on the senior unsecured notes is payable semi-annually with principal and unpaid interest due on the scheduled maturity dates. In addition, certain of the senior unsecured notes were issued at discounts aggregating approximately \$6.1 million. Such discounts are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the senior unsecured notes to which they relate. Through June 30, 2007, approximately \$0.4 million of the aggregate discounts have been amortized.

### Restrictive Covenants

The terms of the senior unsecured notes include certain restrictions and covenants which limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness and liens, and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to the minimum amount of debt service coverage, the maximum amount of consolidated unsecured and secured indebtedness and the minimum amount of unencumbered assets. As of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

### Principal Maturities

Combined aggregate principal maturities of mortgages and notes payable, senior unsecured notes and our share of joint venture debt as of June 30, 2007, excluding extension options, were as follows (in thousands):

	Scheduled Amortization	Principal Repayments	Unsecured Notes	Total	Joint Venture Debt
2007	\$ 1,680	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,680	—
2008	3,634	—	—	3,634	—
2009	3,942	—	200,000	203,942	—
2010	4,225	—	—	4,225	—
2011	3,222	216,656	150,000	369,878	—
Thereafter	—	—	706,782	706,782	94,500
	<u>\$ 16,703</u>	<u>\$ 216,656</u>	<u>\$ 1,056,782</u>	<u>\$ 1,290,141</u>	<u>\$ 94,500</u>

## 8. Partners' Capital

Prior to the Merger, a Class A OP Unit and a share of common stock of RARC had similar economic characteristics as they effectively share equally in the net income or loss and distributions of ROP. As of January 25, 2007 and June 30, 2007, all of our issued and outstanding Class A OP Units were owned by RARC or the operating partnership.

As of December 31, 2006, we had issued and outstanding 1,200 preferred units of limited partnership interest with a liquidation preference value of \$1,000 per unit and a stated distribution rate of 7.0%, or Preferred Units, which was subject to reduction based upon terms of their initial issuance. The terms of the Preferred Units provided for this reduction in distribution rate in order to address the effect of certain mortgages with above market interest rates which were assumed by us in connection with properties contributed to us in 1998. As a result of the aforementioned reduction, no distributions were being made on the Preferred Units. In connection with the Merger, the holder of the Preferred Units transferred the Preferred Units to the operating partnership in exchange for the issuance of 1,200 preferred units of limited partnership interest in the operating partnership with substantially similar terms as the Preferred Units.

Net income per common partnership unit is determined by allocating net income after preferred distributions and minority partners' interest in consolidated partnerships income to the general and limited partners based on their weighted average distribution per common partnership units outstanding during the respective periods presented.

Holders of preferred units of limited and general partnership interest were entitled to distributions based on the stated rates of return (subject to adjustment) for those units.

Prior to the Merger, RARC maintained a long term incentive program, or LTIP. With respect to the LTIP units and the restricted equity awards, RARC recorded compensation expense which has been included in marketing, general and administrative expenses on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. As of December 31, 2006, RARC had accrued approximately \$33.7 million of compensation expense with respect to the special outperformance pool. These costs were included in accounts payable and accrued expenses on the balance sheet at December 31, 2006. During January 2007, in connection with the Merger, RARC paid, in cash, approximately \$35.5 million to the participants of the special outperformance pool of which \$1.8 million was expensed during the period January 1, 2007 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor).

On January 25, 2007, in connection with the Merger, certain former executive officers of RARC waived approximately 443,000 of their LTIP Units. The remaining balance of LTIP Units, regardless of their vesting status, were deemed earned.

## 9. Commitments and Contingencies

We are not presently involved in any material litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against us or our properties, other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. Management believes the costs, if any, incurred by us related to this litigation will not materially affect our financial position, operating results or liquidity.

Our property located at 810 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, New York, NY is subject to certain air rights lease agreements. These lease agreements have terms expiring in 2044 and 2048, including renewal options.

The following is a schedule of future minimum lease payments under noncancellable operating leases with initial terms in excess of one year as of June 30, 2007 (in thousands):

	<u>Air Rights</u>	<u>Non-cancellable operating leases</u>
2007	\$ 14	\$ 4,762
2008	29	9,524
2009	29	9,524
2010	29	9,083
2011	29	7,109
Thereafter	213	221,466
<b>Total minimum lease payments</b>	<b>\$ 343</b>	<b>\$ 261,468</b>

## 10. Environmental Matters

Our management believes that the properties are in compliance in all material respects with applicable Federal, state and local ordinances and regulations regarding environmental issues. Management is not aware of any environmental liability that it believes would have a materially adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Management is unaware of any instances in which it would incur significant environmental cost if any of our properties were sold.

## 11. Segment Information

We are engaged in owning, managing and leasing commercial office properties in Manhattan, Westchester County, Connecticut and Long Island City and have two reportable segments, real estate and structured finance investments. We evaluate real estate performance and allocate resources based on earnings contribution to income from continuing operations.

Our real estate portfolio is primarily located in the geographical markets of Manhattan, Westchester County, Connecticut and Long Island City. The primary sources of revenue are generated from tenant rents and escalations and reimbursement revenue. Real estate property operating expenses consist primarily of security, maintenance, utility costs, real estate taxes and ground rent expense (at certain applicable properties). See Note 4 for additional details on our structured finance investments.

Selected results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2007 (Successor), the periods January 26, 2007 to June 30, 2007 (Successor) and January 1, 2007 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor) and the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor), and selected asset information as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, regarding our operating segments are as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Real Estate Segment</u>	<u>Structured Finance Segment</u>	<u>Total Company</u>
<b>Total revenues:</b>			
Three months ended June 30, 2007 (Successor)	\$ 77,707	\$ 6,495	\$ 84,202
Three months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor)	82,245	4,724	86,969
January 26 to June 30, 2007 (Successor)	134,122	9,946	144,068

January 1 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor)	25,549	1,201	26,750
Six months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor)	174,067	10,330	184,397
Income (loss) from continuing operations before minority interest, gain on sale and discontinued operations:			
Three months ended June 30, 2007 (Successor)	\$ 9,501	\$ 4,563	\$ 14,064
Three months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor)	(902)	2,170	1,268
January 26 to June 30, 2007 (Successor)	14,686	6,463	21,149
January 1 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor)	(12,422)	464	(11,958)
Six months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor)	1,594	5,307	6,901

Total assets			
As of:			
June 30, 2007 (Successor)	\$ 3,843,743	\$ 107,732	\$ 3,951,475
December 31, 2006 (Predecessor)	3,548,377	198,454	3,746,831

Income from continuing operations represents total revenues less total expenses for the real estate segment and total investment income less allocated interest expense for the structured finance segment. Interest costs for the structured finance segment are imputed assuming 100% leverage at SL Green's unsecured revolving credit facility borrowing cost. We do not allocate marketing, general and administrative expenses to the structured finance segment, since we base performance on the individual segments prior to allocating marketing, general and administrative expenses. All other expenses, except interest, relate entirely to the real estate assets. There were no transactions between the above two segments.

14

The table below reconciles income from continuing operations before minority interest to net income available to common unitholders for the three months ended June 30, 2007 (Successor), the periods January 26, 2007 to June 30, 2007 (Successor) and January 1, 2007 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor), and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor) (in thousands):

	Three months Ended June 30, 2007 (Successor)	Period January 26 to June 30, 2007 (Successor)	Period January 1 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor)	Three months Ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor)	Six months Ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor)
Income (loss) from continuing operations before minority interest, gain on sale and discontinued operations:	\$ 14,064	\$ 21,149	\$ (11,958)	\$ 1,268	\$ 6,901
Gain on sale of real estate	—	—	—	—	35,393
Minority interest in other partnerships	(3,285)	(4,166)	(1,665)	(3,923)	(8,032)
Income from continuing operations	10,779	16,983	(13,623)	(2,655)	34,262
Net income/ gains from discontinued operations	—	—	2,520	23,047	45,312
Net income (loss) available to common unitholders	<u>\$ 10,779</u>	<u>\$ 16,983</u>	<u>\$ (11,103)</u>	<u>\$ 20,392</u>	<u>\$ 79,574</u>

## 12. Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities

A summary of our non-cash investing and financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 is presented below (in thousands):

	Six months Ended June 30,	
	2007(1)	2006
Redemption of preferred units	\$ 1,200	\$ —
Transfer of real estate to the operating partnership	608,222	—
Adjustment to fair value of real estate, investment in unconsolidated joint venture and structured finance investments	(3,131,606)	44,400
Adjustments to contributed capital	2,327,290	—
Other non-cash financing	92,017	—
Other non-cash adjustments-investing	104,077	—
Redemption of common units	—	493
Accretion of debt discount	1,595	—

(1) Presented on a combined basis for the 2007 Successor and Predecessor periods.

15

## ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

### Overview

Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P., or ROP, commenced operations on June 2, 1995. Reckson Associates Realty Corp., or RARC, is the sole general partner of ROP. RARC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of SL Green Realty Corp., or SL Green. The sole limited partner of ROP is SL Green Operating Partnership, L.P., or

the operating partnership.

As of June 30, 2007, ROP was engaged in the ownership, management, operation, acquisition, leasing, financing and development of commercial real estate properties, principally office properties and also owned land for future development located in the New York City, Westchester and Connecticut which collectively is also known as the Greater New York Area. At June 30, 2007, our inventory of development parcels aggregated approximately 81 acres of land in 4 separate parcels on which we can, based on estimates at June 30, 2007, develop approximately 1.1 million square feet of office space and in which we had invested approximately \$63.8 million. In addition, ROP also held approximately \$107.5 million of structured finance investments.

On January 25, 2007, SL Green completed the acquisition of all of the outstanding shares of common stock of RARC pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 3, 2006, as amended, the Merger Agreement, among SL Green, Wyoming Acquisition Corp., or Wyoming, Wyoming Acquisition GP LLC, Wyoming Acquisition Partnership LP, RARC and ROP. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, each of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of RARC was converted into (i) \$31.68 in cash, (ii) 0.10387 of a share of the common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of SL Green and (iii) a pro-rated dividend in an amount equal to approximately \$0.0977 in cash. We also assumed an aggregate of approximately \$226.3 million of ROP mortgage debt, approximately \$287.5 million of ROP convertible public debt and approximately \$967.8 million of ROP public unsecured notes. This transaction is referred to herein as the Merger.

On January 25, 2007, SL Green completed the sale, or Asset Sale, of certain assets of ROP to an investment group led by certain of Reckson's former executive management, or the Buyer, for a total consideration of approximately \$2.0 billion. SL Green caused ROP to transfer the following assets to the Buyer in the Asset Sale: (1) certain real property assets and/or entities owning such real property assets, in either case, of ROP and 100% of certain loans secured by real property, all of which are located in Long Island, New York; (2) certain real property assets and/or entities owning such real property assets, in either case, of ROP located in White Plains and Harrison, New York; (3) all of the real property assets and/or entities owning 100% of the interests in such real property assets, in either case, of ROP located in New Jersey; (4) the entity owning a 25% interest in Reckson Australia Operating Company LLC, RARC's former Australian management company (including its former Australian licensed responsible entity), and other related entities, and ROP and ROP subsidiaries' rights to and interests in, all related contracts and assets, including, without limitation, property management and leasing, construction services and asset management contracts and services contracts; (5) the direct or indirect interest of RARC in Reckson Asset Partners, LLC, an affiliate of RSVP and all of ROP's rights in and to certain loans made by ROP to Frontline Capital Group, the bankrupt parent of RSVP, and other related entities, which will be purchased by a 50/50 joint venture with an affiliate of SL Green; (6) a 50% participation interest in certain loans made by a subsidiary of ROP that are secured by four real property assets located in Long Island, New York; and (7) 100% of certain loans secured by real property located in White Plains and New Rochelle, New York.

The following discussion related to our consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements appearing in this report and in Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

As a result of the substantial change in ownership from the Merger, SL Green has recorded the Merger in accordance with the provisions of Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-97, "Push-Down Accounting." The effect of applying "push-down accounting" is to restate the assets and liabilities of ROP to fair value in the same manner as ROP's assets and liabilities were recorded by SL Green subsequent to the Merger.

SL Green has not yet obtained all the information necessary to finalize its estimates to complete the purchase price allocations in accordance with SFAS No. 141 related to our assets in connection with the Merger. The purchase price allocations will be finalized once the information we identified has been received, which should not be longer than one year from the date of acquisition.

As of June 30, 2007, we owned the following interests in commercial office properties primarily in midtown Manhattan, a borough of New York City, or Manhattan, as well as Long Island City, Westchester County, and Connecticut which are collectively known as the Suburban assets:

Location	Ownership	Number of Properties	Square Feet	Weighted Average Occupancy (1)
Manhattan	Consolidated properties	4	3,770,000	98.2%
Suburban	Consolidated properties	19	2,889,900	90.6%
Suburban	Unconsolidated property	1	1,402,000	100.0%
		24	8,061,900	

(1) The weighted average occupancy represents the total leased square feet divided by total available square feet.

### Critical Accounting Policies

Refer to our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of our critical accounting policies, which include rental property, investment in unconsolidated joint ventures, revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, reserve for possible credit losses and derivative instruments. There have been no material changes to these policies in 2007.

### Results of Operations

#### Comparison of the three months ended June 30, 2007 to the three months ended June 30, 2006

Comparisons discussed below are made using the combined operations of the Predecessor and Successor for 2007 as compared to the Predecessor's operations for the same period in 2006. The results of operations may not be comparable for the periods presented due to the change in the basis of accounting between the Successor and Predecessor periods resulting from the application of "push-down accounting." The results of operations for 2006 include 120 West 45<sup>th</sup> Street and Landmark Square 1-6. In connection with the Merger, these properties were transferred to the operating partnership and are therefore not included in the Successor period results of operations.

<b>Rental Revenues (in millions)</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Rental revenue	\$ 64.4	\$ 66.7	\$ (2.3)	(3.5)%
Escalation and reimbursement revenue	12.8	13.8	(1.0)	(7.3)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 77.2</b>	<b>\$ 80.5</b>	<b>\$ (3.3)</b>	<b>(4.1)%</b>

At June 30, 2007, we estimated that the current market rents on our consolidated Manhattan properties and consolidated Suburban assets were approximately 55.0% and 11.2% higher, respectively, than then existing in-place fully escalated rents. Approximately 4.4% of the space leased at our consolidated properties expires during the remainder of 2007.

<b>Investment and Other Income (in millions)</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Equity in net income of unconsolidated joint ventures	\$ 0.3	\$ 1.8	\$ (1.5)	(83.3)%
Investment and other income	7.0	6.5	0.5	(7.7)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 7.3</b>	<b>\$ 8.3</b>	<b>\$ (1.0)</b>	<b>(12.1)%</b>

The decrease in equity in net income of unconsolidated joint venture was primarily due to lower net income contribution from One Court Square resulting from additional depreciation expense related to purchase accounting adjustment to the basis of the investment in connection with the Merger. Our joint venture at One Court Square is net leased to a single tenant until 2020. As such, we do not anticipate much change in occupancy rates or net income contributions from this asset. At June 30, 2007, we estimated that current market rents at our Suburban joint venture asset was approximately 10.3% higher than then existing in-place fully escalated rents.

17

<b>Property Operating Expenses (in millions)</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Operating expenses	\$ 17.9	\$ 17.2	\$ 0.7	4.1%
Real estate taxes	13.1	14.3	(1.2)	(8.4)
Ground rent	2.6	2.0	0.6	30.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 33.6</b>	<b>\$ 33.5</b>	<b>\$ 0.1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>

Property operating expenses remained comparable to the same period in the prior year.

<b>Other Expenses (in millions)</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Interest expense	\$ 18.1	\$ 25.2	\$ (7.1)	(28.2)%
Depreciation and amortization expense	18.6	19.3	(0.7)	(3.6)
Marketing, general and administrative expense	0.3	9.6	(9.3)	(96.9)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 37.0</b>	<b>\$ 54.1</b>	<b>\$ (17.1)</b>	<b>(31.6)%</b>

The decrease in interest expense is due to mortgage debt on certain properties being repaid after June 30, 2006 and those properties remaining unencumbered. In addition, in April 2007, we redeemed \$200.0 million of unsecured notes which bore an average interest rate of 6.9%. We incurred a \$1.0 million make-whole payment in connection with the early redemption of these bonds.

The decrease in marketing, general and administrative expenses is primarily due to SL Green's policy of not allocating corporate costs to the properties.

#### **Comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2007 to the six months ended June 30, 2006**

Comparisons discussed below are made using the combined operations of the Predecessor and Successor for 2007 as compared to the Predecessor's operations for the same period in 2006. The results of operations may not be comparable for the periods presented due to the change in the basis of accounting between the Successor and Predecessor periods resulting from the application of "push-down accounting." The results of operations for 2006 include 120 West 45<sup>th</sup> Street and Landmark Square 1-6. In connection with the Merger, these properties were transferred to the operating partnership and are therefore not included in the Successor period results of operations.

<b>Rental Revenues (in millions)</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Rental revenue	\$ 132.9	\$ 134.0	\$ (1.1)	(0.8)%
Escalation and reimbursement revenue	26.3	26.1	0.2	0.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 159.2</b>	<b>\$ 160.1</b>	<b>\$ (0.9)</b>	<b>(0.6)%</b>

At June 30, 2007, we estimated that the current market rents on our consolidated Manhattan properties and consolidated Suburban assets were approximately 55.0% and 11.2% higher, respectively, than then existing in-place fully escalated rents. Approximately 4.4% of the space leased at our consolidated properties expires during the remainder of 2007.

<b>Investment and Other Income (in millions)</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Equity in net income of unconsolidated joint ventures	\$ 0.5	\$ 2.2	\$ (1.7)	(77.3)%
Investment and other income	11.6	24.2	(12.6)	(52.1)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 12.1</b>	<b>\$ 26.4</b>	<b>\$ (14.3)</b>	<b>(54.2)%</b>

The decrease in equity in net income of unconsolidated joint venture was primarily due to lower net income contribution from One Court Square resulting from additional depreciation expense related to purchase accounting adjustment to the basis of the investment in connection with the Merger. Our joint venture at One Court Square is net leased to a single tenant until 2020. As such, we do not anticipate much change in occupancy rates or net income contributions from this asset. At June 30, 2007, we estimated that current market rents at our Suburban joint venture asset was approximately 10.3% higher than then existing in-place fully escalated rents.

The decrease in investment and other income was primarily due to the sale in 2006 of our option to acquire the minority partner's 40% partnership interest in a property for net consideration of approximately \$9.0 million.

<b>Property Operating Expenses (in millions)</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Operating expenses	\$ 37.8	\$ 36.9	\$ 0.9	2.4%
Real estate taxes	27.6	28.3	(0.7)	(2.5)
Ground rent	5.1	4.1	1.0	24.4
Total	<u>\$ 70.5</u>	<u>\$ 69.3</u>	<u>\$ 1.2</u>	<u>1.7%</u>

Property operating expenses remained comparable to the same period in the prior year.

<b>Other Expenses (in millions)</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>
Interest expense	\$ 39.4	\$ 51.7	\$ (12.3)	(23.8)%
Depreciation and amortization expense	37.8	38.3	(0.5)	(1.3)
Marketing, general and administrative expense	14.4	20.4	(6.0)	(29.4)
Total	<u>\$ 91.6</u>	<u>\$ 110.4</u>	<u>\$ (18.8)</u>	<u>(17.0)%</u>

The decrease in interest expense is due to mortgage debt on certain properties being repaid after June 30, 2006 and those properties remaining unencumbered. In addition, in April 2007, we redeemed \$200.0 million of unsecured notes which bore an average interest rate of 6.9%. We incurred a \$1.0 million make-whole payment in connection with the early redemption of these bonds.

The decrease in marketing, general and administrative expenses is primarily due to SL Green's policy of not allocating corporate costs to the properties. The Predecessor 2007 period includes approximately \$8.8 million related to merger costs.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

We currently expect that our principal sources of funds to meet our short-term and long-term liquidity requirements and (working capital, property operations, debt service, redevelopment of properties, tenant improvements and leasing costs) will include cash flow from operations and net proceeds from divestitures of properties and redemptions of structured finance investments.

Cash flow from operations is primarily dependent upon the occupancy level of our portfolio, the net effective rental rates achieved on our leases, the collectibility of rent and operating escalations and recoveries from our tenants and the level of operating and other costs.

We believe that our sources of working capital, specifically our cash flow from operations, are adequate for us to meet our short-term and long-term liquidity requirements for the foreseeable future.

On January 25, 2007, we were acquired by SL Green. See Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis — Liquidity and Capital Resources" in SL Green's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 for a complete discussion of additional sources of liquidity available to us due to our indirect ownership by SL Green.

### Cash Flows

The following summary discussion of our cash flows is based on our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in "Item 1. Financial Statements" and is not meant to be an all-inclusive discussion of the changes in our cash flows for the periods presented below.

For purposes of this cash flow analysis, the cash flows for the period from January 1, 2007 to January 25, 2007 (Predecessor), the date of the Merger, have been combined with the cash flows for the period January 26, 2007 to June 30, 2007 (Successor) to provide a reasonable comparison to the cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 (Predecessor). Summarized cash flow information for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Six months ended June 30,</b>		
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>Increase (Decrease)</b>
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 69.8	\$ 88.1	\$ (18.3)
Net cash provided by investing activities	\$ 1,998.0	\$ 136.9	\$ 1,861.1
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (2,106.7)	\$ (210.4)	\$ (1,896.3)



Our principal source of operating cash flow is related to the leasing and operating of the properties in our portfolio. Our properties provide a relatively consistent stream of cash flow that provides us with resources to pay operating expenses, debt service and fund quarterly dividend and distribution payment requirements. At June 30, 2007, our portfolio was 93.7% occupied. In addition, rental rates continue to increase and tenant concession packages decrease in the Manhattan and Suburban marketplaces. Our structured finance and joint venture investments also provide a steady stream of operating cash flow to us.

Cash is used in investing activities to fund acquisitions, redevelopment projects and recurring and nonrecurring capital expenditures. We selectively invest in existing buildings that meet our investment criteria. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in the prior year we generated cash primarily from the following investing activities (in thousands):

Capital expenditures and capitalized interest	\$ 39,337
Distributions from joint ventures	3,805
Proceeds from sales of real estate	(191,994)
Structured finance and other investments	31,120
Proceeds from Asset Sale	1,978,764

We generally fund our investment activity through property-level financing and asset sales. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in the prior year the following financing activities used the funds to complete the investing activity noted above (in thousands):

Proceeds from our debt obligations	\$ (554,819)
Repayments under our debt obligations	32,183
Contributions	271,581
Distributions and other financing activities	(1,645,258)

### Capitalization

Prior to the Merger, a Class A OP Unit and a share of common stock of RARC had similar economic characteristics as they effectively share equally in the net income or loss and distributions of ROP. As of January 25, 2007, all of our issued and outstanding Class A OP Units were owned by RARC or the operating partnership.

As of December 31, 2006, we had issued and outstanding 1,200 preferred units of limited partnership interest with a liquidation preference value of \$1,000 per unit and a stated distribution rate of 7.0%, or Preferred Units, which was subject to reduction based upon terms of their initial issuance. The terms of the Preferred Units provided for this reduction in distribution rate in order to address the effect of certain mortgages with above market interest rates which were assumed by us in connection with properties contributed to us in 1998. As a result of the aforementioned reduction, no distributions were being made on the Preferred Units. In connection with the Merger, the holder of the Preferred Units transferred the Preferred Units to the operating partnership in exchange for the issuance of 1,200 preferred units of limited partnership interest in the operating partnership with substantially similar terms as the Preferred Units.

Net income per common partnership unit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 was determined by allocating net income after preferred distributions and minority partners' interest in consolidated partnerships income to the general and limited partners based on their weighted average distribution per common partnership units outstanding during the respective periods presented.

Holders of preferred units of limited and general partnership interest were entitled to distributions based on the stated rates of return (subject to adjustment) for those units.

### Contractual Obligations

Combined aggregate principal maturities of mortgages payable and senior unsecured notes, our share of joint venture debt, excluding extension options, estimated interest expense, and our obligations under our air rights and ground leases, as of June 30, 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Thereafter	Total
Property Mortgages	\$ 1,680	\$ 3,634	\$ 3,942	\$ 4,225	\$ 219,878	\$ —	\$ 233,359
Senior unsecured notes	—	—	200,000	—	150,000	706,782	1,056,782
Ground leases	4,762	9,524	9,524	9,083	7,109	221,466	261,468
Air rights	14	29	29	29	29	213	343
Estimated interest expense	38,122	76,062	68,051	60,021	48,460	254,392	545,108
Joint venture debt	—	—	—	—	—	94,500	94,500
Total	\$ 44,578	\$ 89,249	\$ 281,546	\$ 73,358	\$ 425,476	\$ 1,277,353	\$ 2,191,560

### Corporate Indebtedness

#### Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility

As of December 31, 2006 we maintained a \$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility, or the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility was scheduled to mature in August 2008. At December 31, 2006, the outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility aggregated \$269.0 million and carried a weighted average interest rate of 6.14% per annum. In connection with the Merger on January 25, 2007, this Credit Facility was repaid and terminated.

#### Senior Unsecured Notes

As of June 30, 2007, we had outstanding approximately \$1.057 billion (net of unamortized issuance discounts) of senior unsecured notes.

The following table sets forth our senior unsecured notes and other related disclosures by scheduled maturity date (in thousands):



Issuance	Face Amount	Coupon Rate	Term (in Years)	Maturity
March 26, 1999	\$ 200,000	7.75%	10	March 15, 2009
January 22, 2004	150,000	5.15%	7	January 15, 2011
August 13, 2004	150,000	5.875%	10	August 15, 2014
March 31, 2006	275,000	6.00%	10	March 31, 2016
June 27, 2005 (1)	287,500	4.00%	20	June 15, 2025
	<u>\$ 1,062,500</u>			

(1) Exchangeable senior debentures which are callable after June 17, 2010 at 100% of par. In addition, the debentures can be put to us, at the option of the holder at par plus accrued and unpaid interest, on June 15, 2010, 2015 and 2020 and upon the occurrence of certain change of control transactions. As a result of the Merger, the adjusted exchange rate for the debentures is 7.7461 shares of SL Green common stock per \$1,000 of principal amount of debentures and the adjusted reference dividend for the debentures is \$1.3491.

On April 27, 2007, the \$50.0 million, 6.0% unsecured notes scheduled to mature in June 2007 and the \$150.0 million, 7.20% unsecured notes scheduled to mature in August 2007, assumed as part of the Merger, were redeemed.

Interest on the senior unsecured notes is payable semiannually with principal and unpaid interest due on the scheduled maturity dates. In addition, certain of the senior unsecured notes were issued at discounts aggregating approximately \$6.1 million. Such discounts are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the senior unsecured notes to which they relate. Through June 30, 2007, approximately \$0.4 million of the aggregate discounts have been amortized.

### Restrictive Covenants

The terms of our senior unsecured notes include certain restrictions and covenants which limit, among other things, the incurrence of additional indebtedness and liens, and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to the minimum amount of debt service coverage, the maximum amount of consolidated unsecured and secured indebtedness and the minimum amount of unencumbered assets. As of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

### Market Rate Risk

We are not exposed to changes in interest rates as we have no floating rate borrowing arrangements.

All of our long-term debt, totaling approximately \$1.3 billion, bears interest at fixed rates, and therefore the fair value of these instruments is affected by changes in the market interest rates.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have a number of off-balance sheet investments, including a joint venture investment and structured finance investments. These investments all have varying ownership structures. Our joint venture arrangement is accounted for under the equity method of accounting as we have the ability to exercise significant influence, but not control over the operating and financial decisions of this joint venture arrangement. Our off-balance sheet arrangements are discussed in Note 4, "Structured Finance Investments" and Note 5, "Investment in Unconsolidated Joint Venture" in the accompanying financial statements.

### Capital Expenditures

We estimate that for the six months ending December 31, 2007, we will incur approximately \$51.8 million of capital expenditures (including tenant improvements and leasing commissions) on consolidated properties and none at our joint venture property. We expect to fund these capital expenditures with operating cash flow, borrowings under SL Green's credit facility and cash on hand. We believe that we will have sufficient resources to satisfy our capital needs during the next 12-month period.

Thereafter, we expect that our capital needs will be met through a combination of net cash provided by operations, borrowings, potential asset sales or additional equity or debt issuances by SL Green.

### Related Party Transactions

#### Other

#### Insurance

SL Green maintains "all-risk" property and rental value coverage (including coverage regarding the perils of flood, earthquake and terrorism) and liability insurance with limits in excess of \$200.0 million per location. These insurance policies cover the ROP assets. The property policies expire on December 31, 2007 and the liability policies expire on October 31, 2007. SL Green now maintains two property insurance portfolios. The first portfolio maintains a blanket limit of \$600.0 million per occurrence for the majority of the New York City properties in our portfolio with a sub-limit of \$450.0 million for acts of terrorism. The second portfolio maintains a \$600.0 million per occurrence, including terrorism, for the majority of the Suburban properties. The New York City portfolio incorporates SL Green's captive, Belmont Insurance Company, which we formed in an effort to stabilize, to some extent, the fluctuations of insurance market conditions. Belmont is licensed to write up to \$100.0 million of coverage for us, but at this time is providing \$50.0 million of terrorism coverage in excess of \$100.0 million and is insuring a large deductible on the liability insurance with a \$250,000 deductible per occurrence and a \$2.4 million annual aggregate loss limit. SL Green has secured an excess insurer to protect against catastrophic liability losses (above \$250,000 / occurrence) and a stop loss for aggregate claims that exceed \$2.4 million. SL Green has retained a third party administrator to manage all claims within the deductible and we anticipate that direct management of liability claims will improve loss experience and ultimately lower the cost of liability insurance in future years. Although SL Green considers its insurance coverage (inclusive of the ROP assets) to be appropriate, in the event of a major catastrophe, such as an act of terrorism, SL Green may not have sufficient coverage to replace certain properties.

The Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, or TRIA, which was enacted in November 2002, was renewed on January 1, 2006. Congress extended TRIA, now called TRIEA (Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act) until December 31, 2007. The law extends the federal Terrorism Insurance Program that requires insurance companies to offer terrorism coverage and provides for compensation for insured losses resulting from acts of terrorism. Our debt instruments, consisting of mortgage loans secured by our properties (which are generally non-recourse to us) ground leases and senior unsecured notes, contain customary covenants requiring

us to maintain insurance. There can be no assurance that the lenders or ground lessors under these instruments will not take the position that a total or partial exclusion from “all-risk” insurance coverage for losses due to terrorist acts is a breach of these debt and ground lease instruments that allows the lenders or ground lessors to declare an event of default and accelerate repayment of debt or recapture of ground lease positions. In addition, if lenders insist on full coverage for these risks and prevail in asserting that we are required to maintain such coverage, it could result in substantially higher insurance premiums.

---

### **Inflation**

Substantially all of the office leases provide for separate real estate tax and operating expense escalations as well as operating expense recoveries based on increases in the Consumer Price Index or other measures such as porters’ wage. In addition, many of the leases provide for fixed base rent increases. We believe that inflationary increases may be at least partially offset by the contractual rent increases and expense escalations described above.

---

### **Forward-Looking Information**

This report includes certain statements that may be deemed to be “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Such forward-looking statements relate to, without limitation, our future capital expenditures, dividends and acquisitions (including the amount and nature thereof) and other development trends of the real estate industry and the Manhattan, Westchester and Connecticut office market, business strategies, and the expansion and growth of our operations. These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by us in light of our experience and our perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in Section 27A of the Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Such statements are subject to a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are generally identifiable by the use of the words “may,” “will,” “should,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “believe,” “intend,” “project,” “continue,” or the negative of these words, or other similar words or terms. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Among the factors about which we have made assumptions are:

- general economic or business (particularly real estate) conditions, either nationally or in New York City, Westchester County and Connecticut being less favorable than expected;
- reduced demand for office space;
- risks of real estate acquisitions;
- risks of structured finance investments;
- availability and creditworthiness of prospective tenants;
- adverse changes in the real estate markets, including increasing vacancy, decreasing rental revenue and increasing insurance costs;
- availability of capital (debt and equity);
- unanticipated increases in financing and other costs, including a rise in interest rates;
- market interest rates could adversely affect the market price of our common stock, as well as our performance and cash flows;
- our ability to satisfy complex rules in order for us to qualify as a REIT, for federal income tax purposes, our Operating Partnership’s ability to satisfy the rules in order for it to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to qualify as REITs and certain of our subsidiaries to qualify as taxable REIT subsidiaries for federal income tax purposes and our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to operate effectively within the limitations imposed by these rules;
- accounting principles and policies and guidelines applicable to REITs;
- competition with other companies;
- the continuing threat of terrorist attacks on the national, regional and local economies including, in particular, the New York City area and our tenants;
- legislative or regulatory changes adversely affecting real estate investment trusts and the real estate business; and
- environmental, regulatory and/or safety requirements.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of future events, new information or otherwise.

The risks included here are not exhaustive. Other sections of this report, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 or our Quarterly Report on Form 10Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 may include additional factors that could adversely affect our business and financial performance. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

---

### **ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk**

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk, see item 7A, “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk,” of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Our exposures to market risk have not changed materially since December 31, 2006.

## **ITEM 4T. Controls and Procedures**

### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that it will detect or uncover failures within the Company to disclose material information otherwise required to be set forth in our periodic reports. Also, we have investments in certain unconsolidated entities. As we do not control these entities, our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are necessarily substantially more limited than those we maintain with respect to our consolidated subsidiaries.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our President and our Treasurer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, our President and Treasurer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

We are not currently required to comply with Section 404 (Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 because we are not an "accelerated filer," as defined by Rule 12b-2 under the Exchange Act. We are in the process of continuously improving our internal controls over financial reporting processes and procedures for our financial reporting so that our management can report on these processes and procedures when required to do so.

### **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

There have been no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2007, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to material affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

25

---

## **PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

### **ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

As of December 31, 2006, we were not involved in any material litigation nor, to management's knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against us or our portfolio other than routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business or litigation that is adequately covered by insurance.

On December 6, 2006, SL Green announced that it and RARC had reached an agreement in principal with the plaintiffs to settle the previously disclosed class action lawsuits relating to the Merger. The settlement, which remains subject to documentation and judicial review and approval, provides (1) for certain contingent profit sharing participations for former RARC stockholders relating to specified assets, none of which are owned by ROP (2) for potential payments to former RARC stockholders of amounts relating to Reckson's interest in contingent profit sharing participations in connection with the sale of certain Long Island industrial properties in a prior transaction, none of which are owned by ROP and (3) for the dismissal by the plaintiffs of all actions with prejudice and customary releases of all defendants and related parties.

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

We encourage you to read "Item 1A of Part 1-Risk Factors" in the Annual Report on Form 10-K and the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 for SL Green Realty Corp., our 100% indirect parent company.

There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A of Part 2 in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007.

26

---

### **ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

None

### **ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

None

### **ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

None

### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

On January 25, 2007, SL Green completed the sale, or Asset Sale, of certain assets of ROP to an investment group led by certain of Reckson's former executive management, or the Buyer, for a total consideration of approximately \$2.0 billion. SL Green caused ROP to transfer the following assets to the Buyer in the Asset Sale: (1) certain real property assets and/or entities owning such real property assets, in either case, of ROP and 100% of certain loans secured by real property, all of which are located in Long Island, New York; (2) certain real property assets and/or entities owning such real property assets, in either case, of ROP located in White Plains and Harrison, New York; (3) all of the real property assets and/or entities owning 100% of the interests in such real property assets, in either case, of ROP located in New Jersey; (4) the entity owning a 25% interest in Reckson Australia Operating Company LLC, RARC's former Australian management company (including its former Australian licensed responsible entity), and other related entities, and ROP and ROP subsidiaries' rights to and interests in, all related contracts and assets, including, without limitation, property management and leasing, construction services and asset management contracts and services contracts; (5) the direct or indirect interest of RARC in Reckson Asset Partners, LLC, an affiliate of RSVP and all of ROP's rights in and to certain loans made by ROP to Frontline Capital Group, the bankrupt parent of RSVP, and other related entities, which will be purchased by a 50/50 joint venture with an affiliate of SL Green; (6) a 50% participation interest in certain loans made by a subsidiary of ROP that are secured by four real property assets located in Long Island, New York; and (7) 100% of certain loans secured by real property located in White Plains and New Rochelle, New York.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

- (a) Exhibits:
- 31.1 Certification by the President pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 filed herewith.
- 31.2 Certification by the Treasurer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 filed herewith.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 filed herewith.
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 filed herewith.

27

---

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

RECKSON OPERATING PARTNERSHIP, L.P.  
By: Reckson Associates Realty Corp., its sole general partner

By:           /s/ GREGORY F. HUGHES            
Gregory F. Hughes  
Treasurer

Date: August 14, 2007

28

---

**CERTIFICATION.**

**Exhibit 31.1**

**RECKSON OPERATING PARTNERSHIP, L. P.**

**Certification of Marc Holliday, President of Reckson Associates Realty Corp., the sole general partner of the Company's,  
Pursuant to Rule 13a — 14(a)/15(d) — 14(a)**

**I, Marc Holliday, certify that:**

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P. (the "Company");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Company's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the Company and we have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) [omitted in reliance on SEC Release No. 33-8238; 34-47986, Section III.E]
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter (the Company's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Company's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 14, 2007

/s/ MARC HOLLIDAY

Name: Marc Holliday  
Title: President  
of Reckson Associates Realty Corp.,  
the sole general partner of the Company

---

CERTIFICATION**RECKSON OPERATING PARTNERSHIP, L. P****Certification of Gregory F. Hughes, Treasurer of Reckson Associates Realty Corp., the sole general partner of the Company,  
Pursuant to Rule 13a - 14(a)/15(d) - 14(a)****I, Gregory F. Hughes, certify that:**

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P. (the "Company");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Company's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the Company and we have:
  - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) [omitted in reliance on SEC Release No 33-8238; 34-47986, Section III.E]
  - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) disclosed in this report any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter (the Company's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Company's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the audit committee of the Company's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Company's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 14, 2007

/s/ GREGORY F. HUGHES

---

Name: Gregory F. Hughes  
 Title: Treasurer  
 of Reckson Associates Realty Corp.,  
 the sole general partner of the Company

---

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Marc Holliday, President of the Company, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ MARC HOLLIDAY

---

Name: Marc Holliday  
Title: President  
Of Reckson Associates Realty Corp., the sole general partner of the Company.

August 14, 2007

---



**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Reckson Operating Partnership, L.P. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Gregory F. Hughes, Treasurer of the Company, hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d), as applicable, of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ GREGORY F. HUGHES

---

Name: Gregory F. Hughes  
Title: Treasurer  
Of Reckson Associates Realty Corp., the sole general partner of the Company.

August 14, 2007

---